

# ADDENDUM NO. 2

Date: November 28, 2017

RFQ for Design-Build Entities

SOCCCD - Bid No. 358D

Advanced Technology and Applied Science (ATAS) Building Project

At Saddleback College

South Orange County Community College District

---

---

General-All project documents including contract documents, drawings, and specifications, shall remain unchanged with the exception of those elements added, revised, deleted, or clarified by this addendum.

---

---

## CONTENTS ADDENDUM ITEMS

INDEX

PAGE 1

**ITEMS:**

2-1

Questions and Answers

PAGE 2

## 2-1 Questions and Answers

Q1: Please refer to Essential Requirements for the Design Build Entity, Question 11. The Architect of Record can comply with the stated limits. However, typical architectural professional liability insurance policy requires \$4,000,000 in the aggregate for work on a design-build contract. Would the District consider reducing the aggregate coverage to \$4,000,000 for this project for the Architect of Record?

A1: No, aggregate limit must be \$5,000,000.

Q2: Please refer to B.2 Design-Build and Higher Education Projects and References. For the Architect of Record, the request is to include one project photo showing and exterior elevation. The General Contractor's similar section in Addendum No. 1 permits "or other perspective." Can we include an exterior perspective photo that represents the project instead of the elevation for the Architect of Record project section?

A2: An exterior perspective in lieu of an elevation image is acceptable.

Q3: Please refer to Attachment #3 – Section A. Personnel References. Please confirm that the list of design-build projects requested is the General Contractor and Architectural Firm and not the Architect of Record?

A3: The design-build project references requested are for projects that the General Contractor and Architectural Firm have completed together in the past.

Q4: Please reference Addendum No. 1: Q11/A11. Please clarify if Sub-consultants in direct contract with Steinberg Architects have been disqualified from participating on a Design-Build entity team. One consultant, in particular, worked for Steinberg as a sub-consultant on the District's RFP Scope and Criteria Documents, but was not listed in A11.

A4: Any consultant, whether directly contracted with the District or serving as a sub-consultant, that is involved with the development of this RFP or Criteria

**RFQ for Design-Build Entities  
South Orange Community College District - BID No. 358D  
ATAS Building Project  
Addendum No. 2  
November 28, 2017**

---

Documents is precluded from participating as a member of the proposed Design-Build Entity.

Q5: For the requirements outlined in Attachment No. 3, E-3 and E-4 related to the Design-Build Contractor athletic complex facility experience, please confirm these qualifications can be fulfilled by a Subcontractor submitting under the "Other Subcontractor" team member section?

A5: The District's intent is to determine whether a General Contractor has experience with the successful construction of athletic complex facilities. Projects submitted under this section should be those that the General Contractor has been directly involved with, whether by self-performing the work, or by managing its subcontract forces to accomplish the work. Listing an "Other Subcontractor" with athletic complex facility experience that was not performed under this General Contractor does not fulfill the requirement of this section.

Q6: Based on the outcome of Q17 in Addendum No. 1, will the District issue new forms specific to the food service consultant with specific criteria as the current forms do not necessarily apply?

A6: For the purpose of this RFQ, a food service consultant is not required to be qualified. However, should the project scope require the need for a food services consultant in the near future; the District will issue a revised pre-qualification questionnaire and supplemental qualifications document.

**END**